## **The Hidden Risks of Epidurals**

A common intervention, epidurals are given to reduce pain during birth. But at what cost? A leading Australian physician discusses how this invasive procedure actually impedes labor and harms both mother and baby. Adapted from the book *Gentle Birth, Gentle Mothering; The wisdom and science of gentle choices in pregnancy, birth, and parenting* available from **www.sarahjbuckley.com** By Sarah J. Buckley *Issue 133, November/December 2005* 

The first recorded use of an epidural was in 1885, when New York neurologist J. Leonard Corning injected cocaine into the back of a patient suffering from a^?oespinal weakness and seminal incontinence.a^??¹ More than a century later, epidurals have become the most popular method of analgesia, or pain relief, in US birth rooms. In 2002, almost two-thirds of laboring women, including 59 percent of women who had a vaginal birth, reported that they were administered an epidural.² In Canada in 2001a^?□g2002, around half of women who birthed vaginally used an epidural,³ and in the UK in 2003a^?□g2004, 21 percent of women had an epidural before or during delivery.⁴

Epidurals involve the injection of a local anesthetic drug (derived from cocaine) into the epidural spacea^? The space around (epi) the tough coverings (dura) that protect the spinal cord. A conventional epidural will numb or block both the sensory and motor nerves as they exit from the spinal cord, giving very effective pain relief for labor but making the recipient unable to move the lower part of her body. In the last five to ten years, epidurals have been developed with lower concentrations of local anesthetic drugs, and with combinations of local anesthetics and opiate painkillers (drugs similar to morphine and meperidine) to reduce the motor block. They produce a so-called walking epidural. Spinal analgesia has also been increasingly used in labor to reduce the motor block. Spinals involve drugs injected right through the dura and into the spinal (intrathecal) space, and they produce only short-term analgesia. To prolong the pain-relieving effect for labor, epidurals are now being coadministered with spinals, as a combined spinal epidural (CSE).

Epidurals and spinals offer laboring women the most effective form of pain relief available, and women who have used these analgesics rate their satisfaction with pain relief as very high. However, satisfaction with pain relief does not equate with overall satisfaction with birth,<sup>5</sup> and epidurals are associated with major disruptions to the processes of

birth. These disruptions can interfere with a womana^?(TM)s ultimate enjoyment of and satisfaction with her labor experience, and they may also compromise the safety of birth for the mother and baby.

**Epidurals and Labor Hormones** ☐ Epidurals significantly interfere with some of the major hormones of labor and birth, which may explain their negative effect on the processes of labor. As the World Health Organization comments, a^?oeepidural analgesia is one of the most striking examples of the medicalization of normal birth, transforming a physiological event into a medical procedure.a^??

For example, oxytocin, known as the hormone of love, is also a natural uterotonica^? ha substance that causes a womana^? (TM)s uterus to contract in labor. Epidurals lower the mothera^? (TM)s release of oxytocin<sup>8</sup> or stop its normal rise during labor. The effect of spinals on oxytocin release is even more marked. Epidurals also obliterate the maternal oxytocin peak that occurs at birth<sup>11</sup>a^? hthe highest of a mothera^? (TM)s lifetimea^? hwhich catalyzes the final powerful contractions of labor and helps mother and baby fall in love at first meeting. Another important uterotonic hormone, prostaglandin F2 alpha, is also reduced in women using an epidural.

Beta-endorphin is the stress hormone that builds up in a natural labor to help the laboring woman transcend pain. Beta-endorphin is also associated with the altered state of consciousness that is normal in labor. Being a^?oeon another planet,a^?? as some describe it, helps the mother-to-be to work instinctively with her body and her baby, often using movement and sounds. Epidurals reduce the laboring womana^?(TM)s release of beta-endorphin.<sup>13</sup>, <sup>14</sup> Perhaps the widespread use of epidurals reflects our difficulty with supporting women in this altered state, and our cultural preference for laboring women to be quiet and acquiescent.

Adrenaline and noradrenaline (epinephrine and norepinephrine, collectively known as catecholamines, or CAs) are also released under stressful conditions, and levels naturally increase during an unmedicated labor. At the end of an undisturbed labor, a natural surge in these hormones gives the mother the energy to push her baby out and makes her excited and fully alert at first meeting with her baby. This surge is known as the fetal ejection reflex. 16

However, labor is inhibited by very high CA levels, which may result when the laboring woman feels hungry, cold, fearful, or unsafe.<sup>17</sup> This response makes evolutionary sense: If the mother senses danger, her hormones will slow or stop labor and give her time to flee to find a

safer place to birth.

Epidurals reduce the laboring womana^?(TM)s release of CAs, which may be helpful if high levels are inhibiting her labor. However, a reduction in the final CA surge may contribute to the difficulty that women laboring with an epidural can experience in pushing out their babies, and to the increased risk of instrumental delivery (forceps and vacuum) that accompanies the use of an epidural (see below).

## **Effects on the Process of Labor**

Epidurals slow labor, possibly through the above effects on the laboring womana^?(TM)s oxytocin release, although there is also evidence from animal research that the local anesthetics used in epidurals may inhibit contractions by directly affecting the muscle of the uterus. 18 On average, the first stage of labor is 26 minutes longer in women who use an epidural, and the second, pushing stage is 15 minutes longer. 19 Loss of the final oxytocin peak probably also contributes to the doubled risk of an instrumental deliverya^? hvacuum or forcepsa^? hfor women who use an epidural, 20 although other mechanisms may be involved.

For example, an epidural also numbs the laboring womana^?(TM)s pelvic floor muscles, which are important in guiding her babya^?(TM)s head into a good position for birth. When an epidural is in place, the baby is four times more likely to be persistently posterior (POP, or face up) in the final stages of labora^?□h13 percent compared to 3 percent for women without an epidural, according to one study.²¹ A POP position decreases the chance of a spontaneous vaginal delivery (SVD); in one study, only 26 percent of first-time mothers (and 57 percent of experienced mothers) with POP babies experienced an SVD; the remaining mothers had an instrumental birth (forceps or vacuum) or a cesarean.²²

Anesthetists have hoped that a low-dose or combined spinal epidural would reduce the chances of an instrumental delivery, but the improvement seems to be modest. In one study, the Comparative Obstetric Mobile Epidural Trial (COMET), 37 percent of women with a conventional epidural experienced instrumental births, compared with 29 percent of women using low-dose epidurals and 28 percent of women using combined spinal epidurals.<sup>23</sup>

For the baby, instrumental delivery can increase the short-term risks of bruising, facial injury, displacement of the skull bones, and cephalohematoma (blood clot under the scalp).<sup>24</sup> The risk of

intracranial hemorrhage (bleeding inside the brain) was increased in one study by more than four times for babies born by forceps compared to those with spontaneous births,<sup>25</sup> although two studies showed no detectable developmental differences for forceps-born children at five years old.<sup>26</sup>, <sup>27</sup> Another study showed that when women with an epidural had a forceps delivery, the force used by the clinician to deliver the baby was almost twice the force used when an epidural was not in place.<sup>28</sup>

Epidurals also increase the need for Pitocin to augment labor, probably due to the negative effect on the laboring womana^?(TM)s own release of oxytocin. Women laboring with an epidural in place are almost three times more likely to be administered Pitocin.<sup>29</sup> The combination of epidurals and Pitocin, both of which can cause abnormalities in the fetal heart rate (FHR) that indicate fetal distress, markedly increases the risk of operative delivery (forceps, vacuum, or cesarean delivery). In one Australian survey, about half of first-time mothers who were administered both an epidural and Pitocin had an operative delivery.<sup>30</sup>

The impact of epidurals on the risk of cesarean is contentious; differing recent reviews suggest no increased risk<sup>31</sup> and an increase in risk of 50 percent.<sup>32</sup> The risk is probably most significant for women having an epidural with their first baby.<sup>33</sup>

Note that the studies used to arrive at these conclusions are mostly randomized controlled trials in which the women who agree to participate are randomly assigned to either epidural or nonepidural pain relief. Nonepidural pain relief usually involves the administration of opiates such as meperidine (aka pethidine). Many of these studies are flawed from high rates of crossovera^? hwomen who were assigned to nonepidurals but who ultimately did have epidurals, and vice versa. Also, noting that there are no true controlsa^? hthat is, women who are not using any form of pain reliefa^? hthese studies cannot tell us anything about the impact of epidurals compared to birth without analgesic drugs.

**Epidural Techniques and Side Effects**☐ The drugs used in labor epidurals are powerful enough to numb, and usually paralyze, the mothera^?(TM)s lower body, so it is not surprising that there can be significant side effects for mother and baby. These side effects range from minor to life-threatening and depend, to some extent, on the specific drugs used.

Many of the epidural side effects mentioned below are not improved

with low-dose or walking epidurals, because women using these techniques may still receive a substantial total dose of local anesthetic, especially when continuous infusions and/or patient-controlled boluses (single large doses) are used.<sup>34</sup> The addition of opiate drugs in epidurals or CSEs can create further risks for the mother, such as pruritus (itching) and respiratory depression (see below).

Maternal Side Effects ☐ The most common side effect of epidurals is a drop in blood pressure. This effect is almost universal and is usually preempted by administering IV fluids before placing an epidural. Even with this a^?oepreloading,a^?? episodes of significant low blood pressure (hypotension) occur for up to half of all women laboring with an epidural,<sup>35</sup>, <sup>36</sup> especially in the minutes following the administration of a drug bolus. Hypotension can cause complications ranging from feeling faint to cardiac arrest<sup>37</sup> and can also affect the babya^?(TM)s blood supply (see below). Hypotension can be treated with more IV fluids and, if severe, with injections of epinephrine (adrenaline).

Other common side effects of epidurals include inability to pass urine (necessitating a urinary catheter) for up to two-thirds of women;<sup>38</sup> itching of the skin (pruritus) for up to two-thirds of women administered an opiate drug via epidural;<sup>39</sup>, 40 shivering for up to one in three women;<sup>41</sup> sedation for around one in five women;<sup>42</sup> and nausea and vomiting for one in twenty women.<sup>43</sup>

Epidurals can also cause a rise in temperature in laboring women. Fever over 100.4A^? F (38A^? C) during labor is five times more likely overall for women using an epidural;<sup>44</sup> this rise in temperature is more common in women having their first babies, and more marked with prolonged exposure to epidurals.<sup>45</sup> For example, in one study, 7 percent of first-time mothers laboring with an epidural were feverish after six hours, increasing to 36 percent after 18 hours.<sup>46</sup> Maternal fever can have a significant effect on the baby (see below).

Opiate drugs, especially administered as spinals, can cause unexpected breathing difficulties for the mother, which may come on hours after birth and may progress to respiratory arrest. One author comments, a^?oeRespiratory depression remains one of the most feared and least predictable complications of .A^ .A^ . intrathecal [spinal] opioids.a^??<sup>47</sup>

Many observational studies have found an association between epidural use and bleeding after birth (postpartum hemorrhage).<sup>48a^?gg53</sup> For example, a large UK study found that women were twice as likely to experience postpartum hemorrhaging when they used an epidural in

labor.<sup>54</sup> This statistic may be related to the increase in instrumental births and perineal trauma (causing bleeding), or may reflect some of the hormonal disruptions mentioned above.

An epidural gives inadequate pain relief for 10 to 15 percent of women,<sup>55</sup> and the epidural catheter needs to be reinserted in about 5 percent.<sup>56</sup> For around 1 percent of women, the epidural needle punctures the dura (dural tap); this usually causes a severe headache that can last up to six weeks, but can usually be treated by an injection into the epidural space.<sup>57</sup>, <sup>58</sup>

More serious side effects are rare. If epidural drugs are inadvertently injected into the bloodstream, local anesthetics can cause toxic effects such as slurred speech, drowsiness, and, at high doses, convulsions. This error occurs in around one in 2,800 epidural insertions.  $^{59}$  Overall, life-threatening reactions occur for around one in 4,000 women.  $^{60}$ a $^{?}$ g $^{63}$  Death associated with an obstetric epidural is very rare,  $^{64}$  but it can be caused by cardiac or respiratory arrest, or by an epidural abscess that develops days or weeks afterward.

Later complications include weakness and numbness in 4 to 18 per 10,000 women. Most of these complications resolve spontaneously within three months. <sup>65a</sup> Longer-term or permanent problems can arise from damage to a nerve during epidural placement; from abscess or hematoma (blood clot), which can compress the spinal cord; and from toxic reactions in the covering of the spinal cord, which can lead to paraplegia. <sup>70</sup>

**Side Effects for the Baby** Some of the most significant and well-documented side effects for the unborn baby (fetus) and newborn derive from effects on the mother. These include, as mentioned above, effects on her hormonal orchestration, blood pressure, and temperature regulation. As well, drug levels in the fetus and newborn may be even higher than in the mother, which may cause direct toxic effects. For example, epidurals can cause changes in the fetal heart rate (FHR) that indicate that the unborn baby is lacking blood and oxygen. This effect is well known to occur soon after the administration of an epidural (usually within the first 30 minutes), can last for 20 minutes, and is particularly likely following the use of opiate drugs administered via epidural and spinal. Most of these changes in FHR will resolve themselves spontaneously with a change in position. More rarely, they may require drug treatment. More severe changes, and the fetal distress they reflect, may require an urgent cesarean.

Note also that the use of opiate drugs for labor analgesia can also

cause FHR abnormalities. This process makes the real effects of epidurals on FHR hard to assess because, in almost all randomized trials, epidurals are compared with meperidine or other opiate drugs. One researcher notes that the supine position (lying on the back) may contribute significantly to hypotension and FHR abnormalities when an epidural is in place. Another found that the supine position (plus epidural) was associated with a significant decrease in the oxygen supply to the babya? (TM)s brain (fetal cerebral oxygenation).

The baby can also be affected by an epidural-induced rise in the laboring mothera^?(TM)s temperature. In one large study of first-time mothers, babies born to febrile (feverish) mothers, 97 percent of whom had received epidurals, were more likely than babies born to afebrile mothers to be in poor condition (low Apgar score); have poor tone; require resuscitation (11.5 percent versus 3 percent); or have seizures in the newborn period.<sup>75</sup> One researcher noted a tenfold increase in risk of newborn encephalopathy (signs of brain damage) in babies born to febrile mothers.<sup>76</sup>

Maternal fever in labor can also directly cause problems for the newborn. Because fever can be a sign of infection involving the uterus, babies born to febrile mothers are almost always evaluated for infection (sepsis). Sepsis evaluation involves prolonged separation from the mother, admission to special care, invasive tests, and, most likely, administration of antibiotics until test results are available. In one study of first-time mothers, 34 percent of epidural babies were given a sepsis evaluation compared to 9.8 percent of nonepidural babies.<sup>77</sup>

**Drugs and Toxicity** Every drug that the mother receives in labor will pass through the placenta to her baby, who is more vulnerable to toxic effects. The maximum effects are likely to be at birth and in the hours immediately after, when drug levels are highest.

There are few studies of the condition of epidural babies at birth, and almost all of these compare babies born after epidurals with babies born after exposure to opiate drugs, which are known to cause drowsiness and difficulty with breathing. These studies show little difference between epidural and nonepidural (usually opiate-exposed) babies in terms of Apgar score and umbilical-cord pH, both of which reflect a babya^?(TM)s condition at birth.<sup>78</sup> However, a large-population survey from Sweden found that use of an epidural was significantly associated with a low Apgar score at birth.<sup>79</sup>

There are also reports of newborn drug toxicity from epidural drugs,

especially opiates administered via epidural.<sup>80</sup> Newborn opiate toxicity seems more likely with higher dose regimes, including those where the mother is able to self-administer extra doses, although there are wide differences in individual newborn sensitivity.<sup>81</sup>

It is important to note that a newborn babya^?(TM)s ability to process and excrete drugs is much less than an adulta^?(TM)s. For example, the half-life (time to reduce drug blood levels by half) for the local anesthetic bupivacaine (Marcaine) is 8.1 hours in the newborn, compared to 2.7 hours in the mother.<sup>82</sup> Also, drug blood levels may not accurately reflect the babya^?(TM)s toxic load because drugs may be taken up from the blood and stored in newborn tissues such as the brain and liver,<sup>83</sup> from where they are more slowly released.<sup>84</sup>

A recent review also found higher rates of jaundice for epiduralexposed babies. This result may be related to the increase in instrumental deliveries or to the increased use of Pitocin.<sup>85</sup>

Neurobehavioral Effects The effects of epidural drugs on newborn neurobehavior (behavior that reflects brain state) are controversial. Older studies comparing babies exposed to epidurals with babies whose mothers received no drugs have found significant neurobehavioral effects, whereas more recent findings from randomized controlled trials (which, as noted, compare epidural- and opiate-exposed newborns) have found no differences. However, these older studies also used the more comprehensive (and difficult to administer) Brazelton Neonatal Behavioral Assessment Score (NBAS, devised by pediatricians), whereas more recent tests have used less complex procedures, especially the Neurologic and Adaptive Capacity Score (NACS, devised by anesthesiologists), which aggregates all data into a single figure and which has been criticized as insensitive and unreliable. 86a^2cg88

For example, all three studies comparing epidural-exposed with unmedicated babies, and using the NBAS, found significant differences between groups:<sup>89</sup>

Ann Murray et al. compared 15 unmedicated with <sup>40</sup> epidural-exposed babies and found that the epidural babies still had a depressed NBAS score at five days, with particular difficulty controlling their state. Twenty babies whose mothers had received oxytocin as well as an epidural had even more depression of NBAS scores, which may be explained by their higher rates of jaundice. At one month, epidural mothers found their babies a^?oeless adaptable, more intense and more bothersome in their behavior.a^?? These differences could not

be explained by the more difficult deliveries and subsequent maternal-infant separations associated with epidurals.<sup>90</sup>

Carol Sepkoski et al. compared 20 epidural babies with 20 unmedicated babies, and found less alertness and ability to orient for the first month of life. The epidural mothers spent less time with their babies in the hospital, in direct proportion to the total dose of bupivacaine administered. Deborah Rosenblatt et al. tested epidural babies with NBAS over six weeks and found maximal depression on the first day. Although there was some recovery, at three days epidural babies still cried more easily and more often; aspects of this problem (a^?oecontrol of statea^??) persisted for the full six weeks. Page 12.

Although these older studies used conventional epidurals, the total dose of bupivacaine administered to the mothers (in these studies, mean doses of 61.6 mg,<sup>93</sup> 112.7 mg,<sup>94</sup> and 119.8 mg,<sup>95</sup> respectively) was largely comparable to more recent low-dose studies (for example, 67.5 mg,<sup>96</sup> 91.1 mg,<sup>97</sup> and 101.1 mg<sup>98</sup>).

These neurobehavioral studies highlight the possible impact of epidurals on newborns and on the evolving mother-infant relationship. In their conclusions, the researchers express concern about a^?oethe importance of first encounters with a disorganized baby in shaping maternal expectations and interactive styles.a^??<sup>99</sup>

**Animal Studies** Animal studies suggest that the disruption of maternal hormones caused by epidurals, described above, may also contribute to maternal-infant difficulties. Researchers who administered epidurals to laboring sheep found that the epidural ewes had difficulty bonding to their newborn lambs, especially those in first lambing with an epidural administered early in labor. 100

There are no long-term studies of the effects of epidural analgesia on exposed human offspring. However, studies on some of our closest animal relatives give cause for concern. M. S. Golub et al. administered epidural bupivacaine to pregnant rhesus monkeys at term and followed the development of the exposed offspring to age 12 months (equivalent to four years in human offspring). She found that milestone achievement was abnormal in these monkeys: at six to eight weeks they were slow in starting to manipulate, and at ten months the increase in a^?oemotor disturbance behaviorsa^?? that normally occurs was prolonged.¹¹¹¹ The author concludes, a^?oeThese effects could occur as a result of effects on vulnerable brain processes during a sensitive period, interference with programming of brain development by endogenous [external] agents or alteration in early

**Breastfeeding** □ As with neurobehavior, effects on breastfeeding are poorly studied, and more recent randomized controlled trials comparing exposure to epidural and opiate drugs are especially misleading because opiates have a well-recognized negative effect on early breastfeeding behavior and success. 103-107

Epidurals may affect the experience and success of breastfeeding through several mechanisms. First, the epidural-exposed baby may have neurobehavioral abnormalities caused by drug exposure that are likely to be maximal in the hours following birtha^? ha critical time for the initiation of breastfeeding. Recent research has found (rather obviously) that the higher the newborna^?(TM)s neurobehavior score, the higher his or her score for breastfeeding behavior. 108

In another study, the babya^?(TM)s breastfeeding abilities, as measured by the Infant Breastfeeding Assessment Tool (IBFAT), were highest among unmedicated babies, lower for babies exposed to epidurals or IV opiates, and lowest for babies exposed to both. Infants with lower scores were weaned earlier, although overall, similar numbers in all groups were breastfeeding at six weeks. 109 In other research, babies exposed to epidurals and spinals were more likely to lose weight in the hospital, which may reflect poor feeding efficiency. 110 Other research has suggested that newborn breastfeeding behavior and NACS scores may be normal when an ultra-low-dose epidural is used, although even in this study, babies with higher drug levels had lower neurobehavior (NACS) scores at a^? Ntwo hours. 111

Second, epidurals may affect the new mother, making breastfeeding more difficult. This situation is likely if she has experienced a long labor, an instrumental delivery, or separation from her baby, all of which are more likely following an epidural. Hormonal disruptions may also contribute, as oxytocin is a major hormone of breastfeeding.

One study found that babies born after epidurals were less likely to be fully breastfed on hospital discharge; this was a special risk for epidural mothers whose babies did not feed in the first hour after birth. A Finnish survey records that 67 percent of women who had labored with an epidural reported partial or full formula feeding in the first 12 weeks compared to 29 percent of nonepidural mothers; epidural mothers were also more likely to report having a^?oenot enough milk.a^??<sup>113</sup>

Two groups of Swedish researchers have looked at the subtle but

complex breastfeeding and prebreastfeeding behavior of unmedicated newborns. One group has documented that when placed skin-to-skin on the mothera^?(TM)s chest, a newborn can crawl up, find the nipple, and self-attach.<sup>114</sup> Newborns affected by opiate drugs in labor or separated from their mothers briefly after birth lose much of this ability. The other Swedish group found that newborns exposed to labor analgesia (mostly opiates, but including some epidurals) were also disorganized in their prefeeding behaviora^? hnipple massage and licking, and hand suckinga^? hcompared to unmedicated newborns.<sup>115</sup>

**Satisfaction with Birth** □ Obstetric care providers have assumed that control of pain is the foremost concern of laboring women and that effective pain relief will ensure a positive birth experience. In fact, there is evidence that the opposite may be true. Several studies have shown that women who use no labor medication are the most satisfied with their birth experience at the time, <sup>116</sup> at six weeks, <sup>117</sup> and at one year after the birth. <sup>118</sup> In a UK survey of 1,000 women, those who had used epidurals reported the highest levels of pain relief but the lowest levels of satisfaction with the birth, probably because of the higher rates of intervention.

Finally, it is noteworthy that caregiver preferences may to a large extent dictate the use of epidurals and other medical procedures for laboring women. One study found that women under the care of family physicians with a low mean use of epidurals were less likely to receive monitoring and Pitocin, to deliver by cesarean, and to have their babies admitted to newborn special care.<sup>119</sup>

conclusion Epidurals have possible benefits but also significant risks for the laboring mother and her baby. These risks are well documented in the medical literature but may not be disclosed to the laboring woman. Women who wish to avoid the use of epidurals are advised to choose caregivers and models of care that promote, support, and understand the principles and practice of natural and undisturbed birth.

## **NOTES**

- 1. G. R. Hamilton and T. F. Baskett, a^?oeIn the Arms of Morpheus: The Development of Morphine for Postoperative Pain Relief,a^?? *Can J Anaesth* 47, no. 4 (2000): 367a^?□g374.
- 2. E. Declercq et al., Listening to Mothers: Report of the First National

- *U.S. Survey of Womena^?(TM)s Childbearing Experiences* (New York: Maternity Center Association, October 2002): 1.
- 3. Canadian Institute for Health Information, Giving Birth in Canada: A Regional Profile (Ontario: CIHA, 2004):7
- 4. National Health Service, *NHS Maternity Statistics, England*: 2003a^?□g04 (Crown Copyright, 2005): 6.
- 5. E. D. Hodnett, a^?oePain and Womena^?(TM)s Satisfaction with the Experience of Childbirth: A Systematic Review,a^?? *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 186, Supplement 5 (2002): S160a^?□gS172.
- 6. S. J. Buckley, a^?oeEcstatic Birth: The Hormonal Blueprint of Labor,a^?? *Mothering* no. 111 (Marcha^?□gApril 2002):

## http://www.mothering.com/articles/pregnancy birth/birth preparation/ecstatic.html

- 7. World Health Organization, *Care in Normal Birth: A Practical Guide*. Report of a Technical Working Group (Geneva: World Health Organization, 1996): 16.
- 8. V. A. Rahm et al., a^?oePlasma Oxytocin Levels in Women During Labor With or Without Epidural Analgesia: A Prospective Study,a^?? *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand* 81, no. 11 (November 2002): 1033a^?□g1039.
- 9. R. M. Stocche et al., a^?oeEffects of Intrathecal Sufentanil on Plasma Oxytocin and Cortisol Concentrations in Women During the First Stage of Labor,a^?? *Reg Anesth Pain Med* 26, no. 6 (Novembera^?□gDecember 2001): 545a^?□g550. 10. Ibid.
- 11. C. F. Goodfellow et al., a^?oeOxytocin Deficiency at Delivery with Epidural Analgesia,a^?? *Br J Obstet Gynaecol* 90, no. 3 (March 1983): 214a^?□g219.
- 12. O. Behrens et al., a^?oeEffects of Lumbar Epidural Analgesia on Prostaglandin F2 Alpha Release and Oxytocin Secretion During Labor,a^?? *Prostaglandins* 45, no. 3 (March 1993): 285a^?□q296.
- 13. M. Brinsmead et al, a^?oePeripartum Concentrations of Beta Endorphin and Cortisol and Maternal Mood States,a^?? *Aust NZ J Obstet Gynaecol* 25, no. 3 (August 1985): 194a^?□q197.
- 14. G. Bacigalupo et al., a^?oeQuantitative Relationships between Pain Intensities during Labor and Beta-endorphin and Cortisol Concentrations in Plasma. Decline of the Hormone Concentrations in the Early Postpartum Period.a^?? *J Perinat Med* 18, no. 4 (1990): 289a^?q296.
- 15. A. Costa et al., a^?oeAdrenocorticotropic Hormone and Catecholamines in Maternal, Umbilical and Neonatal Plasma in Relation to Vaginal Delivery,a^?? *J Endocrinol Invest* 11, no. 10 (November 1988): 703a^?□g709.

- 16. M. Odent, a^?oeThe Fetus Ejection Reflex,a^?? in *The Nature of Birth and Breastfeeding* (Sydney: Ace Graphics, 1992): 29a^?□q43.
- 17. R. P. Lederman et al., a^?oeAnxiety and Epinephrine in Multiparous Women in Labor: Relationship to Duration of Labor and Fetal Heart Rate Pattern,a^?? *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 153, no. 8 (15 December 1985): 870a^?□g877.
- 18. G. Arici et al., a^?oeThe Effects of Bupivacaine, Ropivacaine and Mepivacaine on the Contractility of Rat Myometrium,a^?? *Int J Obstet Anesth* 13, no. 2 (April 2004): 95a^?□g98.
- 19. B. L. Leighton and S. H. Halpern, a^?oeThe Effects of Epidural Analgesia on Labor, Maternal, and Neonatal Outcomes: A Systematic Review,a^?? *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 186, Supplement 5 (May 2002): S69a^?□gS77.
- 20. Ibid.
- 21. E. Lieberman et al., a^?oeChanges in Fetal Position During Labor and their Association with Epidural Analgesia,a^?? *Obstet Gynecol* 105, no. 5, Part I (May 2005): 974a^?□g982.
- 22. S. E. Ponkey et al., a^?oePersistent Fetal Occiput Posterior Position: Obstetric Outcomes,a^?? *Obstet Gynecol* 101, no. 5, pt. 1 (May 2003): 915a^?□g920.
- 23. COMET Study Group UK, a^?oeEffect of Low-Dose Mobile versus Traditional Epidural Techniques on Mode of Delivery: A Randomised Controlled Trial,a^?? The Lancet 358, no. 9275 (7 July 2001): 19a^?□q23.
- 24. J. H. Johnson et al., a^?oeImmediate Maternal and Neonatal Effects of Forceps and Vacuum-Assisted Deliveries,a^?? *Obstet Gynecol* 103, no. 3 (March 2004): 513a^?□g518.
- 25. B. S. Jhawar et al., a^?oeRisk Factors for Intracranial Hemorrhage Among Full-Term Infants: A Case-Control Study,a^?? *Neurosurgery* 52, no. 3 (March 2003): 581a^?□q590 (discussion, 588a^?□q590).
- 26. W. G. McBride et al., a^?oeMethod of Delivery and Developmental Outcome at Five Years of Age,a^?? *Med J Aust* 1, no. 8 (21 April 1979): 301a^?□q304.
- 27. B. D. Wesley et al., a^?oeThe Effect of Forceps Delivery on Cognitive Development,a^?? *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 169, no. 5 (November 1993): 1091a^?q1095.
- 28. S. H. Poggi et al., a^?oeEffect of Epidural Anaesthesia on Clinician-Applied Force During Vaginal Delivery,a^?? *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 191, no. 3 (September 2004): 903a^?□g906.
- 29. See Note 19.
- 30. C. L. Roberts et al., a^?oeRates for Obstetric Intervention Among Private and Public Patients in Australia: Population Based Descriptive Study,a^?? *Br Med J* 321, no. 7254 (15 July 2000): 137a^?□g141.

- 31. See Note 19.
- 32. E. Lieberman and C. Oa^?(TM)Donoghue, a^?oeUnintended Effects of Epidural Analgesia During Labor: A Systematic Review,a^?? *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 186, Supplement 5 (May 2002): S31a^?□gS68.
- 33. J. A. Thorp et al., a^?oeThe Effect of Continuous Epidural Analgesia on Cesarean Section for Dystocia in Nulliparous Women,a^?? vAm J Obstet Gynecol 161, no. 3 (September 1989): 670a^?□g675.
- 34. See Note 23.
- 35. L. M. Goetzl, a^?oeObstetric Analgesia and Anesthesia,a^?? ACOG Practice Bulletin, Clinical Management Guidelines for Obstetrician-Gynecologists no. 36, *Obstet Gynecol* 100, no. 1 (July 2002): 177a^? g191.
- 36. L. J. Mayberry et al., a^?oeEpidural Analgesia Side Effects, Co-Interventions, and Care of Women During Childbirth: A Systematic Review,a^?? *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 186, Supplement 5 (2002): S81a^?□gS93.
- 37. D. B. Scott and B. M. Hibbard, a^?oeSerious Non-Fatal Complications Associated with Extradural Block in Obstetric Practice,a^?? Br J Anaesthv 64, no. 5 (May 1990): 537a^?□g541.
- 38. See Note 36.
- 39. See Note 35.
- 40. See Note 36.
- 41. D. Buggy and J. Gardiner, a^?oeThe Space Blanket and Shivering During Extradural Analgesia in Labour,a^?? vActa Anaesthesiol Scand 39, no. 4 (May 1995): 551a^?□g553.
- 42. See Note 36.
- 43. Ibid.
- 44. See Note 19.
- 45. See Note 32.
- 46. E. Lieberman et al., a^?oeEpidural Analgesia, Intrapartum Fever, and Neonatal Sepsis Evaluation,a^?? *Pediatrics* 99, no. 3 (March 1997): 415a^?□q419.
- 47. P. DeBalli and T. W. Breen, a^?oeIntrathecal Opioids for Combined Spinal-Epidural Analgesia During Labour,a^?? *CNS Drugs* 17, no. 12 (2003): 889a^?□g904 (892a^?□g893).
- 48. N. S. Saunders et al., a^?oeNeonatal and Maternal Morbidity in Relation to the Length of the Second Stage of Labour,a^?? *Br J Obstet Gynaecol* 99, no. 5 (May 1992): 381a^?□g385.
- 49. L. St. George and A. J. Crandon, a^?oeImmediate Postpartum Complications,a^?? *Aust NZ J Obstet Gynaecol* 30, no. 1 (February 1990): 52a^?□q56.
- 50.. E. F. Magann et al., a^?oePostpartum Hemorrhage after Vaginal

- Birth: An Analysis of Risk Factors, a^?? South Med J 98, no. 4 (April 2005):  $419a^2 = 422$ .
- 51. T. M. Eggebo and L. K. Gjessing, [a^?oeHemorrhage After Vaginal Deliverya^??], *Tidsskr Nor Laegeforen* 120, no. 24 (10 October 2000): 2860a^? g2863.
- 52>. B. Ploeckinger et al., a^?oeEpidural Anaesthesia in Labour: Influence on Surgical Delivery Rates, Intrapartum Fever and Blood Loss,a^?? *Gynecol Obstet Invest* 39, no. 1 (1995): 24a^? \piq27.
- 53. L. Gilbert et al., a^?oePostpartum Haemorrhage: A Continuing Problem,a^?? *Br J Obstet Gynaecol* 94, no. 1 (January 1987): 67a^?□q71.
- 54. See Note 48.
- 55. See Note 35.
- 56.M. J. Paech et al., a^?oeComplications of Obstetric Epidural Analgesia and Anaesthesia: A Prospective Analysis of 10,995 Cases,a^?? *Int J Obstet Anesth* 7, no. 1 (January 1998): 5a^?□g11.
- 57. P. C. Stride and G. M. Cooper, a^?oeDural Taps Revisited: A 20-Year Survey from Birmingham Maternity Hospital,a^?? *Anaesthesia* 48, no. 3 (March 1993): 247a^?□q255.
- 58. S. N. Costigan and J. S. Sprigge, a^?oeDural Puncture: The Patientsa^?(TM) Perspective. A Patient Survey of Cases at a DGH Maternity Unit 1983a^?□g1993,a^?? *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 40, no. 6 (July 1996): 710a^?□g714.
- 59.. See Note 56.
- 60. See Note 37.
- 61. See Note 56.
- 62. D. B. Scott and M. E. Tunstall, a^?oeSerious Complications Associated with Epidural/Spinal Blockade in Obstetrics: A Two-Year Prospective Study,a^?? *Int J Obstet Anesth* 4, no. 3 (July 1995): 133a^? g139.
- 63. J. S. Crawford, a^?oeSome Maternal Complications of Epidural Analgesia for Labour,a^?? *Anaesthesia* 40, no. 12 (December 1985): 1219a^?□g1225.
- 64. F. Reynolds, a^?oeEpidural Analgesia in Obstetrics,a^?? *Br Med* J 299, no. 6702 (September 1989): 751a^?□g752.
- 65. See Note 37.
- 66. See Note 62.
- 67. See Note 63.
- 68. See Note 64.
- 69. MIDIRS and the NHS Centre for Reviews and Dissemination, a^?oeEpidural Pain Relief During Labour,a^?? in *Informed Choice for Professionals* (Bristol: MIDIRS, 1999): 5.
- 70. See Note 37.

- 71. R. Fernando et al., a^?oeNeonatal Welfare and Placental Transfer of Fentanyl and Bupivacaine During Ambulatory Combined Spinal Epidural Analgesia for Labour,a^?? *Anaesthesia* 52, no. 6 (June 1997): 517a^?□g524.
- 72. J. Littleford, a^?oeEffects on the Fetus and Newborn of Maternal Analgesia and Anesthesia: A Review,a^?? Can J *Anaesth*51, no. 6 (Junea^?qJuly 2004): 586a^?q609.
- 73. G. Capogna, a^?oeEffect of Epidural Analgesia on the Fetal Heart Rate,a^?? *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol* 98, no. 2 (October 2001): 160a^? q164.
- 74. C. J. Aldrich et al., a^?oeThe Effect of Maternal Posture on Fetal Cerebral Oxygenation During Labour,a^?? *Br J Obstet Gynaecol* 102, no. 1 (January 1995): 14a^? g19.
- 75. E. Lieberman et al., a^?oeIntrapartum Maternal Fever and Neonatal Outcome,a^?? *Pediatrics* 105, no. 1, pt. 1 (January 2000): 8a^?□q13.
- 76. L. Impey et al., a^?oeFever in Labour and Neonatal Encephalopathy: A Prospective Cohort Study,a^?? *Br J Obstet Gynaecol* 108, no. 6 (June 2001): 594a^?□g597.
- 77. See Note 32.
- 78. Ibid.
- 79. K. Thorngren-Jerneck and A. Herbst, a^?oeLow 5-Minute Apgar Score: A Population-Based Register Study of 1 Million Term Births,a^?? *Obstet Gynecol* 98, no. 1 (2001): 65a^?□g70.
- 80. M. Kumar and B. Paes, a^?oeEpidural Opioid Analgesia and Neonatal Respiratory Depression,a^?? *J Perinatol 23*, no. 5 (Julya^?\pigAugust 2003): 425a^?\pig427.
- 81. Ibid.
- 82. T. Hale, *Medications and Mothersa*^?(TM) Milk (Amarillo, TX: Pharmasoft, 1997): 76.
- 83. See Note 71.
- 84. T. Hale, a^?oeThe Effects on Breastfeeding Women of Anaesthetic Medications Used During Labour,a^?? The Passage to Motherhood Conference, Brisbane, Australia (1998).
- 85. See Note 32.
- 86. W. Camann and T. B. Brazelton, a^?oeUse and Abuse of Neonatal Neurobehavioral Testing,a^?? *Anesthesiology* 92, no. 1 (January 2000): 3a^?q5.
- 87. R. Gaiser, a^?oeNeonatal Effects of Labor Analgesia,a^?? *Int Anesthesiol Clin* 40, no. 4 (Fall 2002): 49a^?□g65.
- 88. S. H. Halpern et al., a^?oeThe Neurologic and Adaptive Capacity Score Is Not a Reliable Method of Newborn Evaluation,a^??

  Anesthesiology 94, no. 6 (June 2001): 958a^?□q962.

- 89. See Note 32.
- 90. A. D. Murray et al., a^?oeEffects of Epidural Anesthesia on Newborns and their Mothers,a^?? *Child Dev* 52, no. 1 (March 1981): 71a^? g82.
- 91. C. M. Sepkoski et al., a^?oeThe Effects of Maternal Epidural Anesthesia on Neonatal Behavior During the First Month,a^?? *Dev Med Child Neurol* 34, no. 12 (December 1992): 1072a^? g1080.
- 92. D. B. Rosenblatt et al., a^?oeThe Influence of Maternal Analgesia on Neonatal Behaviour: II. Epidural Bupivacaine,a^?? *Br J Obstet Gynaecol* 88, no. 4 (April 1981): 407a^?□g413.
- 93. See Note 90.
- 94. See Note 91.
- 95. See Note 92.
- 96. See Note 71.
- 97. J. R. Loftus et al., a^?oePlacental Transfer and Neonatal Effects of Epidural Sufentanil and Fentanyl Administered with Bupivacaine During Labor,a^?? *Anesthesiology* 83, no. 3 (1995): 300a^?□g308.
- 98. See Note 23.
- 99. See Note 90: 71.
- 100. D. Krehbiel et al., a^?oePeridural Anesthesia Disturbs Maternal Behavior in Primiparous and Multiparous Parturient Ewes,a^?? *Physiol Behav* 40, no. 4 (1987): 463a^?□g472.
- 101. M. S. Golub and S. L. Germann, a^?oePerinatal Bupivacaine and Infant Behavior in Rhesus Monkeys,a^?? *Neurotoxicol Teratol* 20, no. 1 (Januarya^?□gFebruary 1998): 29a^?□g41.
- 102. M. S. Golub, a^?oeLabor Analgesia and Infant Brain Development,a^?? *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* 55, no. 4 (1996): 619a^?□g628 (619).
- 103. L. Righard and M. O. Alade, a^?oeEffect of Delivery Room Routines on Success of First Breast-Feed,a^?? *The Lancet 336*, no. 8723 (November 1990): 1105a^?□g1107.
- 104. M. K. Matthews, a^?oeThe Relationship Between Maternal Labour Analgesia and Delay in the Initiation of Breastfeeding in Healthy Neonates in the Early Neonatal Period,a^?? *Midwifery* 5, no. 1 (March 1989): 3a^?□g10.
- 105. A. B. Ransjo-Arvidson et al., a^?oeMaternal Analgesia During Labor Disturbs Newborn Behavior: Effects on Breastfeeding, Temperature, and Crying,a^?? *Birth* 28, no. 1 (March 2001): 5a^?□g12.
- 106. E. Nissen et al., a^?oeEffects of Maternal Pethidine on Infantsa^?(TM) Developing Breast Feeding Behaviour,a^?? *Acta Paediatr* 84, no. 2 (February 1995): 140a^?□g145.
- 107. L. Rajan, a^?oeThe Impact of Obstetric Procedures and

- Analgesia/Anaesthesia During Labour and Delivery on Breast Feeding,a^?? *Midwifery* 10, no. 2 (June 1994): 87a^?□g103. 108. S. Radzyminski, a^?oeNeurobehavioral Functioning and Breastfeeding Behavior in the Newborn,a^?? *J Obstet Gynecol Neonatal Nurs* 34, no. 3 (Maya^?□gJune 2005): 335a^?□g341. 109. J. Riordan et al., a^?oeThe Effect of Labor Pain Relief Medication on Neonatal Suckling and Breastfeeding Duration,a^?? *J Hum Lact* 16, no. 1 (February 2000): 7a^?□g12.
- 110. K. G. Dewey et al., a^?oeRisk Factors for Suboptimal Infant Breastfeeding Behavior, Delayed Onset of Lactation, and Excess Neonatal Weight Loss,a^?? *Pediatrics* 112, no. 3, pt. 1 (September 2003): 607a^?□g619.
- 111. S. Radzyminski, a^?oeThe Effect of Ultra Low Dose Epidural Analgesia on Newborn Breastfeeding Behaviors,a^?? J *Obstet Gynecol Neonatal Nurs* 32, no. 3 (Maya^?□gJune 2003): 322a^?□g331.
- 112. D. J. Baumgarder et al., a^?oeEffect of Labor Epidural Anesthesia on Breast-Feeding of Healthy Full-Term Newborns Delivered Vaginally,a^?? *J Am Board Fam Pract* 16, no. 1 (Januarya^?□gFebruary 2003): 7a^?□g13.
- 113. P. Volmanen et al., a^?oeBreast-Feeding Problems After Epidural Analgesia for Labour: A Retrospective Cohort Study of Pain, Obstetrical Procedures and Breast-Feeding Practices,a^?? *Int J Obstet Anesth* 13, no. 1 (2004): 25a^?□q29.
- 114. See Note 103.
- 115. See Note 105.
- 116. S. Kannan et al., a^?oeMaternal Satisfaction and Pain Control in Women Electing Natural Childbirth,a^?? Reg Anesth Pain Medvv 26, no. 5 (Septembera^?□gOctober 2001): 468a^?□g472.
- 117. J. M. Green et al., a^?oeExpectations, Experiences, and Psychological Outcomes of Childbirth: A Prospective Study of 825 Women,a^?? Birth 17, no. 1 (March 1990): 15a^?□g24.
- 118. B. M. Morgan et al., a^?oeAnalgesia and Satisfaction in Childbirth (The Queen Charlottea^?(TM)s 1000 Mother Survey),a^?? The Lancet 2, no. 8302 (9 October 1982): 808a^?□g810.
- 119. M. C. Klein et al., a^?oeEpidural Analgesia Use as a Marker for Physician Approach to Birth: Implications for Maternal and Newborn Outcomes,a^?? Birth 28, no. 4 (December 2001): 243a^?□g248.
- Sarah J. Buckley is a family physician, an internationally published writer, and a full-time mother to Emma, Zoe, Jacob, and Maia Rose, all born at home. She is the author of Gentle Birth, Gentle Mothering: The wisdom and science of gentle choices in pregnancy, birth, and parenting, published in early 2006. To read more from Sarah J.

Buckley, and to order her book, visit www.sarahjbuckley.com.